

Jordan, Mary

From: Webb, Trevor
Sent: Tuesday, 23 May 2017 11:01 AM
To: Duffy, Gillian
Cc: Berven, Leise; Fletcher, Nick; Haase, Lorraine
Subject: RE: FoE Nano and IF [SEC=UNCLASSIFIED]

Thanks Gillian

Are you happy to work with Leise on it to continue with a joint effort?

Maybe raise at JFT – will depend on whether we have the information back from SNAG and IF companies etc

Trevor

From: Duffy, Gillian
Sent: Tuesday, May 23, 2017 10:55 AM
To: Webb, Trevor
Cc: Berven, Leise; Duffy, Gillian; Fletcher, Nick; Haase, Lorraine
Subject: RE: FoE Nano and IF [SEC=UNCLASSIFIED]

Hi Trevor

The brief for MO – is this Ministers Office? Who should be preparing this? We have one from last year that can be updated.

Are we still raising this at the upcoming JFT?

Thanks
Gill

From: Webb, Trevor
Sent: Tuesday, 23 May 2017 10:47 AM
To: Neal, Glen
Cc:
Subject: FoE Nano and IF [SEC=UNCLASSIFIED]

Hi Glen,

Followed up with Lorraine re information to jurisdictions on the FoE information – it will go out through the Food Incident Network as a 'for information' – this is consistent with previous approaches to inform the jurisdictions such as the what we did with Ramrod earlier in the year. Accordingly I will not provide a verbal brief to ISFR at the TC.

The issue of whether hydroxyapatite is an approved form of Ca as an additive for IF or not is unclear and remains an issue of interpreting the Code.

Other activities:

Gillian is contacting IF companies to enquiry about forms of calcium being added (to date none have reported using hydroxyapatite as a form of calcium).

Leise is organising a teleconference of the SNAG to seek their (directed via question) review of the FoE information.

Lorraine has contacted the media to see if story is going ahead.

We will prepare a brief for the MO.

Regards

Trevor

Dr Trevor Webb

A/g General Manager

Food, Information, Science & Technology Branch



www.foodstandards.gov.au

55 Blackall Street, Barton, ACT 2600

PO Box 5423, Kingston ACT 2604

